

Election/Restrictions

1. The applicants claim amendment of 7/22/2011 including the addition of new independent claim 33.
2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. It is noted that a solid core of cross linked reactive polymeric material enclosed in a sheath (independent generic claim 1) does not comprise a special technical feature that is unknown in the prior art. Therefore unity of invention does not exist between the species.

The species are as follows:

Species A, the timing element comprising a solid core of cross-linked reactive polymeric material having a fuel component only that is dispersed in the within the solid core of the reactive polymeric material (herein, it is being assumed that Species A is a fully enabled embodiment of the invention, i.e. that claimed species A is complete and does not require an oxidizer component to function as disclosed).

Species B, the timing element comprising a solid core of cross-linked reactive polymeric material having an oxidizer component only that is dispersed in the within the solid core of the reactive polymeric material (herein, it is being assumed that Species B is a fully enabled embodiment of the invention, i.e. that claimed Species B is complete and does not require a fuel component to function as disclosed).

Species C, the timing element comprising a solid core of cross-linked reactive polymeric material having both an oxidizer component and a fuel component dispersed within the solid core of the reactive polymeric material.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If

Art Unit: 3641

claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES BERGIN whose telephone number is (571)272-6872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Wednesday and Friday, 8.30 - 5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Application/Control Number: 10/553,932

Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James S. Bergin/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3641